

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,914	02/12/2002	Mostafa Rassaian	38190/235965	8444
826	7590 08/19/2003			
ALSTON & BIRD LLP BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			EXAMI	INER
		000	LEVIN, NAUM B	
CHARLOTT	E, NC 20200-4000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2825	
	•	•	DATE MAILED: 08/19/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Μ				
S. California	Application No.	.,	Applicant(s)				
	10/074,914		RASSAIAN, MOSTAFA				
Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit				
	Naum B Levin		2825	*			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is really received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimulil apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	, may a reply be tim m of thirty (30) days (6) MONTHS from t come ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely he mailing date of this co 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	uno 2002	•					
	s action is non-final						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			secution as to th	a maritê je			
closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims				e monto is			
4) Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the application.		v		. •			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration	on.	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	,	*			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-12,23-34 and 45-56</u> is/are rejected.				-			
7) Claim(s) <u>13-22,35-44 and 57-66</u> is/are objected	I to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requireme	nt.					
Application Papers	•	•	*				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner		·					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ininiei.		,				
<u>-</u>	asiasity under 25 11		(d) (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 O.	.S.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (i).				
<u></u>	have been receive	d					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents		1	n Na				
	i.	, ,	*	Stone			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).	*	Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(e)) (to a provisional	application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	* *						
Attachment(s)				•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	tice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PT0				

Art-Unit:-2825-

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to application 10/074,914 and Response filed on 06/27/2003. Claims 1-66 remain pending in the application.

Examiner appreciates the detailed remarks offered by Applicant. Based on it Examiner has performed additional search, and found a new references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-12, 23-34 and 45-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Shebini (US Patent 4,858,146).

Shebini teaches automated design of structures using a finite element database including:

(1), (23), (45) A method, system and program for design analysis of a structural component, the method comprising (col.7, II.57-60; col.9, II.40-45; col.10, II.49-52; col.11, II.19-28 and II.51-57; col.33, II.10-24 and col.34, II.18-27):

generating a finite element model of the component (col.2, II.1-28; col.10, II.52-55 and col.32, II.36-47);

Application/Control Number: 10/074,914

Art-Unit: 2825

receiving user-defined parameters defining a plurality of stresses associated with the component and including at least one thermo-mechanical environment parameter (col.4, II.21-39; col.7, II.40-51 and col.17, II.40-65);

subjecting/applying the finite element model of the component to at least one environmental load (col.2, II.29-37 and col.4, II.21-39);

determining a stress response of the finite element model based upon
the at least one environmental load (col.5, II.26-45; col.8, II.65-68 and col.11, II.19-28);
determining whether the stress response is within pre-selected limits (col.4, II.65-68; col.5, II.1-45 and col.11, II.29-38); and

prompting modification of at least one of a design of the component and at least one user-defined parameter and regenerating the finite element model if the stress response is outside of the pre-selected limits (col.4, II.65-68; col.5, II. 1-25 and col.32, II.52-64);

- (2), (25), (46) The method, system and program, wherein determining whether the stress response is within pre-selected limits comprises converting the stress response of the finite element model to a fatigue life for the component and comparing the fatigue life for the component to a target fatigue life for the component (col.5, II.26-45 and col.7, II.39-50);
- (3), (26), (47) The method, system and program, wherein prompting modification comprises determining at least one of the design of the component and at least one user defined parameter that causes the fatigue life for the component to be shorter

than the target fatigue life for the component, if the fatigue life for the component is shorter than the target fatigue life for the component (col.5, II.26-45 and col.7, II.39-50);

- (4), (32), (53) The method, system and program further comprising creating a drawing of a design of the component prior to generating the finite element model of the component (col.9, II.58-68; col.10, II.1-16 and II.40-55);
- (5), (33), (54) The method, system and program, wherein creating the drawing of the design of the component comprises creating a three-dimensional computer aided drawing of the design of the component (col.10, II.52-55);
- (6), (34), (55) The method, system and program, wherein creating the drawing of the design of the component comprises creating a drawing of a design of electronics embedded in the component (col.5, II.46-60);
- (7), (27), (48) The method, system and program, wherein receiving user-defined parameters defining a plurality of variables associated with the component comprises receiving at least one of at least one manufacturing parameter for the component, at least one boundary condition for the component, and part information for the component (col.2, II.1-5; col.3, II.28-48);
- (8), (28), (49) The method, system and program, wherein receiving at least one thermo mechanical environment parameter for the component comprises receiving at least one of a thermal environment parameter, an acoustic environment parameter, a vibration environment parameter, and a shock environment parameter (col.4, II.21-38 and col.11, II.19-28);

- (9), (29), (50) The method, system and program further comprising receiving finite element properties and information regarding at least one part of the component (col.2, II.1-5);
- (10), (30), (51) The method, system and program, wherein receiving information regarding at least one part of the component comprises receiving information from a database of parts information (col.10, II.5-12 and II.43-48);
- (11), (31), (52) The method, system and program, wherein subjecting the finite element model of the component to at least one environmental load comprises subjecting the finite element model of the component to at least one of a thermal environmental load, an acoustic environmental load, a vibration environmental load, and a shock environmental load (col.2, II.29-37; col.4, II.21-39 and col.11, II.19-28);
- (12), (24), (56) The method, system and program further comprising storing the finite element model as a representation of the design for the component if the stress response is within the pre-selected limits (col.4, II.65-68; col.5, II.1-25; col.31, II.62-68 and col.32, II.1-10).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 13-22, 35-44 and 57-66 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Application/Control Number: 10/074,914

Art Unit: 2825

The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest or render obvious:

A method for design analysis of a structural component, wherein subjecting the finite element model of the component to at least one environmental load comprises: subjecting the finite element model of the component to a computational first load; subjecting the finite element model of the component to a computational second load; determining a maximum response of the finite element model of the component to the first load; determining a maximum response of the finite element model of the component to the second load; determining a ratio of the maximum responses; obtaining a first environmental load to test against the component; applying the ratio of the maximum responses to the first environmental load to convert the first environmental load to a second environmental load; and subjecting the finite element model to the second environmental load.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Biggs et al. (US Patent 6,301,970) discloses a method of predicting fatigue failure in a filled polymeric material is provided. The method involves the calculation of stress at the region of highest stress using an equation which includes as parameters, egression coefficients of the stress vs. modulus obtained from a <u>finite element</u> analysis. Once the regression coefficients are obtained, there is no further need to perform a <u>finite element analysis</u>. The calculated stresses are numerically integrated in

Application/Control Number: 10/074,914

Art Unit: 2825

a damage equation using a Monte Carlo method, using a cumulative model to estimate when failure will occur. The method has been tested in the case of temperature stress loading of a solid propellant rocket motor.

Huang et al. (US Patent 6,212,486) teaches a method of dynamic durability analysis and fatigue area identification using modal techniques for a structure includes the steps of simulating a finite element model of the structure to determine modal stresses and modal displacements for an element of the structure and performing a modal transient analysis using the modal displacements. The method also includes the steps of determining a stress bound for the element from the modal stresses and modal transient analysis, determining if a stress bound for the element is greater than a predetermined value and identifying the element as a critical element if the stress bound for the element is greater than the predetermined value. The method further includes the steps of determining a stress time history for the critical element and using the stress time history to perform a fatigue analysis to identify an area of fatigue within the structure.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naum B Levin whose telephone number is 703-305-0144. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S Smith can be reached on 703-308-1323. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

Art Unit: 2825_

872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

N L August 7, 2003

EIGH M. GARBOWSKI PRIMARY EXAMINER